IX. Notes on the Butterflies described by Linnœus. By W. F. Kirby.

[Read 21st March, 1870.]

The appearance of Mr. Butler's valuable and long-expected "Catalogue of Lepidoptera described by Fabricius, in the Collection of the British Museum," has suggested to me that a list of the species described by Linnæus, with notes on the twicel former world also be useful.

on the typical figures, would also be useful.

The works of Linneus which I intend to quote, are the 11th edition of the Systema Naturæ (1760), which is a reprint of the 10th edition (1758); the Fauna Suecica, ed. 2 (1761); the Museum Ulricæ (1764); the Systema Naturæ, ed. 12, part 2 (1767), the lawful commencement of our nomenclature; and the Mantissa Plantarum (1771), the appendix to which contains some insects. I shall also quote the species described by Johanssen and Sparrman in the Amænitates Academicæ, most of which were taken up by Linneus in his subsequent works. For the sake of brevity, special reference will not always be given in full to each successive work.

I must remark, that the value of the figures quoted by Linnæus as illustrating his species, is usually very slight; and that much discrimination and great care is requisite to apply them properly, or they will lead us astray in almost every instance, excepting only those in which the Linnean description itself is taken from the figure quoted. In the following notes, figures quoted with doubt by Linnæus will generally not be noticed; figures verified by myself will be marked with an asterisk; and the modern genus will be added to the name of each species, except to those of the typical *Papilio*.

When I have been unable to verify doubtful references for myself, or if differences of opinion exist, the authorities for the references are pointed out. References are not quoted for European species, except in special

cases.

The Linnean descriptions, as a rule, are not hard to comprehend, when you have the insect to which they refer before you; and I have no doubt that, with a little trouble, any Entomologist with a good collection before him, could easily identify most of those which I have been unable to determine.

PAPILIO.

- * Equites Troes.
- 1. P. Priamus (Ornithoptera). Linnæus quotes two figures, *Amoen. Acad. v. t. 3, f. 203 (1760) and Clerck, t. 17. I have not Clerck at hand; but the figure in Am. Ac. seems to agree with the description, and ought, perhaps, to be considered the most typical. Even allowing for the roughness of the figure, it differs materially from Cramer's figures 23 A.B., which are usually referred to Priamus, especially in the spots on the underside of the hind-wing, which Linnaus describes as "round," and which are represented as scarcely larger than on the upperside, and as widely separated, while in Cramer's figure they are very large, irregularly oval in shape, and almost confluent. The green markings on both surfaces of the fore-wings also differ considerably from Cramer's figure; but as this may possibly be owing, more or less, to some inaccuracy in the drawing in the Am. Ac., I do not consider this of equal importance to the size and shape of the spots.
 - 2. P. Hector. Reference, Clerck, t. 33, f. 1.
- 3. P. Paris. Linnœus quotes Knorr, Delic. t. C 3, f. 1, for this insect in 1760; and subsequently Clerck, t. 13, f. 1. Knorr's should probably be regarded as the typical figure, if it agrees with the Linnean description.
- 4. P. Helenus. Refs. Clerck, t. 13, f. 2; Ehret, Pict. t. 10; *Edwards, Birds, t. 342. Edwards' figure represents P. Polytes, Linn., and does not agree with the Linnean description.
 - 5. P. Polytes. Ref. Clerck, t. 14, f. 1.
 - 6. P. Troilus.
- 7. P. Deiphobus. Refs. Clerck, t. 25; Ehret, t. 25, f. 1; *Edw. t. 346; *Petiver, Gaz. t. 11, f. 8. Petiver's figure more probably represents one of the forms of P. Memnon.
- 8. P. Pammon. Refs. Clerck, t. 14, f. 2; *Rœsel, Ins. i. t. 2, f. 2, 3. Clerck's is the typical figure, as it is quoted in Mus. Ulr.
 - 9. P. Glaucus. Ref. Cl. t. 24, f. 1.

- 10. *P. Polydorus*, Joh. Amœn. Acad. vi. p. 401. Ref. Cl. t. 33, f. 2.
- 11. P. Anchises. Refs. *Merian, Ins. Sur. t. 17; *Sloane, Jamaica, ii. t. 239, f. 19, 20; *Edw. t. 207; Ehret, t. 10; Cl. t. 29, f. 1. Here Clerck is apparently not typical, as all the other figures were quoted in 1760, before his book was published. Merian's and Edwards' neither agree with each other, nor with the Linnean description. Sloane's figure represents P. Polydamas (n. 12). Mr. Butler regards P. Anchises as P. Arbates, Cram., with which the description seems to agree very well (Cat. Fab. pp. 235, 236).
- 12. P. Polydamas. Typ. ref. *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 31. The Linnean description applies to the Polydamas of our cabinets; but Merian's figure represents P. Androgeos, Cram. Q (P. Polycaon, Cr. 203, A. B.)
- 13. P. Memnon. Ref. * Pet. Gaz. t. 11, f. 8. Linnæus also quotes this figure under Deiphobus (n. 7).
- 14. P. Agenor. Ref. Cl. t. 15. A local form of P. Memnon.
 - 15. P. Sarpedon.
 - 16. P. Æneas. Ref. *Res. Ins. iv. t. 2, f. 2.
- 17. P. Panthous (ORNITHOPTERA). Refs. Cl. t. 18, 19 (=P. Priamus, \mathfrak{P} , and P. Remus); *Pet. Gaz. t. 2, f. 2. The reference to Petiver is incorrect; the insect is the same as that already quoted by Linnæus under P. Deiphobus and P. Memnon, viz., *Pet. Gaz. t. 11, f. 8.
 - 18. P. Pandarus (Diadema).
- 19. P. Helena (Ornithoptera). Refs. Cl. t. 22, f. 1; *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 72. Merian's figure seems to represent O. Pompeus, Cram.
 - 20. P. Philenor. Mant. Plant. p. 535.
- 21. P. Phorbanta. Mant. Plant. p. 535. Ref. Aubenton, Misc. t. 43, f. 1, 2.
- 22. P. Ascanius, Sparrm. Amen. Acad. vii. p. 500, note (d). I was not able to match this insect by the description, when looking through Mr. Hewitson's collection last year.

- * * Equites Achivi.
- 23. P. Menelaus (Morpho). Refs. *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 53; Knorr, Delic. t. C 4, f. 2; Cl. t. 21, f. 1. Merian's figure differs from Cramer's figure 21 A. B. in the number and arrangement of the spots of the underside of the hind-wing.
 - 24. P. Ulysses. Ref. Cl. t. 23, f. 1.
 - 25. P. Agamemnon.
 - 26. P. Diomedes. The Q of P. Ulysses (n. 24).
- 27. P. Patroclus (Nychalemon: Heterocera). Ref. Cl. t. 37, f. 1.
 - 28. P. Pyrrhus (Nymphalis). Ref. Cl. t. 25, f. 2.
 - 29. P. Jason (Nymphalis). S. N. 1767, p. 749.
 - 30. P. Orontes (Nychalemon). Ref. Cl. t. 26, f. 1.
 - 31. P. Nireus. Ref. Cl. t. 30, f. 1.
 - 32. P. Philoctetes (Hætera). Ref. Cl. t. 30, f. 3.
- 33. P. Stelenes (Victorina). Refs. * Pet. Gaz. t. 13, f. 1; * Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 11; * Sloane, Jam. ii. t. 239, f. 9, 10. Merian's figure represents Colonis Dido.
- 34. P. Leilus (Urania). Typical refs. *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 29; *Sloane, Jam. ii. t. 239, f. 11, 12; Knorr, t. C, f. 1; later refs. Cl. t. 27, f. 1; *Rœs. t. 2, f. 1. Sloane's figure, of course, represents U. Sloanus; and the figures of Merian and Rœsel do not quite agree with each other.
- 35. P. Ajax. Ref. *Edw. t. 34. This figure is considerably larger than Marcellus, Cram., which is usually referred to P. Ajax as a variety, and the bands are yellowish instead of white.
 - 36. P. Machaon.
 - 37. P. Xuthus.
- 38. P. Antilochus (vel Anthilochus). Ref. * Catesby, Carolina, ii. t. 83.
- 39. P. Turnus. Mant. Plant. p. 536. There is no doubt that Turnus is a mere synonym of Antilochus.
- 40. P. Podalirius. Refs. Mer. Ins. Eur. 163, t. 44; Reaum. Ins. i. t. 11, f. 3, 4; *Res. i. el. ii. t. 2, f. 3, 4, &c. Linnæus was at first inclined to regard this insect as a variety of P. Protesilaus. The orange stripe

which he describes somewhat inaccurately thus:—" posticis subtus linea sanguinea," varies a good deal in intensity of colour in different specimens.

- 41. *P. Phidippus* (Amathusia), Joh. Amæn. Acad. vi. p. 402. Lin. S. N. 1767, p. 752.
- 42. P. Jason. In the last edition of the Systema, Linnæus describes another species under the same name (n. 29, above).
- 43. P. Protesilaus. Typ. refs. *Sloane, Jam. ii. t. 239, f. 1, 2; *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 43; *Catesby, Car. ii. t. 100; later refs. Cl. t. 27, f. 2, β; Seba, Mus. i. t. 11, f. 2; *Edw. t. 34. Catesby's figure represents a species allied to Marcellus, Cram.; Edwards' is the same quoted by Linnæus for P. Ajax (n. 35); Sloane's figure represents a species of Timetes.
- 44. P. Nestor (MORPHO). Ref. *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 9. This is considered to be the Q of Morpho Menelaus (n. 23). Some separate Cramer's Nestor; but it hardly seems to differ materially from Merian's figure, except in wanting one of the eyes of the underside of the hindwings, Merian representing 5, and Cramer 4 only.
- 45. P. Telemachus (Моврно). Ref. *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 68. Westwood (Gen. D. L. ii. p. 339) refers this species, which is very badly figured by Merian, to Morpho Anaxibia, Esp. φ, with doubt. Cramer's Telemachus is totally different.
- 46. P. Achilles (MORPHO). Typ. refs. * Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 7; Knorr, Delic. t. C 2, f. 1, 2; later ref. Cl. t. 24, f. 2. Merian's figure does not agree with Linnæus' description, or Cramer's figures; but it almost exactly corresponds with a Morpho from Nicaragua in the Royal Dublin Society's collection.
- 47. P. Medon (ROMALEOSOMA), Clerck and Johanssen. Typ. ref. Cl. t. 28, f. 1. (See Butl. Proc. Zool. Soc, 1865, pp. 672, 673).
 - 48. P. Teucer (Caligo). Typ. ref. *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 23,
- 49. P. Demoleus. Typ. ref. Ehret, t. 5; later ref. *Rœs. add. t. 1, f. 2, 3. The locality given by Linnæus (Cape of Good Hope) fixes this species.
- 50. P. Idomeneus (CALIGO). Typ. refs. *Pet. Gaz. t, 28, f. 1; *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 60; later ref. Cl. t. 20, f. 1. Petiver's figure represents C. Teucer (n. 48).

- 51. P. Demophon (PREPONA). Typ. ref. Cl. t. 29, f. 2.
- 52. P. Ægisthus (vel Ægistus), Joh. Am. Ac. vi. p. 401. Lin. S. N. 1767, p. 754.
- 53. P. Eurypylus (vel Euripylus). Ref. Cl. t. 28, f. 2. A variety of P. Jason, n. 42, above.
- 54. P. Thoas. Mant. Plant. p. 536. Refs. * Drury, i. t. 22, f. 1, 2; Auben. Misc. t. 69; Seba, t. 38, f. 6, 7. Drury's figure differs a little from Cramer's, showing a slight divergence in the direction of P. Cinyras, Mén.

* HELICONII.

- 55. P. Apollo (PARNASSIUS).
- 56. P. Mnemosyne (Parnassius).
- 57. P. Piera (H.ETERA). Typ. ref. Cl. t. 36, f. 4; later refs. *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 16, f. 1; *Ræs. add. t. 6. The two last figures do not perfectly agree, and may represent different species.
- 58. P. Aglaia (Pieris). S. N. 1760, p. 465; P. Pasithoe, S. N. 1767, p. 755.
- 59. P. Horta (ΛCRÆA). Mus. Ulr. p. 234; S. N. 1767, p. 755.
- 60. P. Cepheus (ACR.ΞA). S. N. 1760, p. 487; Mus. Ulr. p. 252; P. Horta, β, S. N. 1767, p. 755. Ref. Cl. t. 43, f. 4.
- 61. P. Terpsichore (vel Terpsicore) (ACRÆA). Ref. *Pet. Gaz. t. 40, f. 4. I believe this figure is intended for A. violæ, which does not agree with the Linnean description: Mr. Butler refers the figure to Horta, and the description to Rahira. If he is right, Rahira must be sunk under Terpsichore, Linn.
- 62. P. Antiochus (Heliconius). S. N. 1767, p. 1068. Ref. Ehret, t. 1. Mr. Butler says this figure represents H. Clytia (Cat. Fabr. p. 121).
 - 63. P. Calliope (Stalachtis). Ref. Cl. t. 41, f. 4.
- 64. P. Melite (LEPTALIS), Joh. Am. Ac. vi. p. 403. Ref. Cl. t. 44, f. 5.
- 65. P. Polymnia (Mechanitis). Refs. *Pet. Gaz. t. 12, f. 8; *Edw. t. 175; *Rœs. iv. t. 5, f. 2.

- 66. P. Mneme (MELINÆA), Joh. Amæn. Acad. vi. p. 403. Lin. S. N. 1767, p. 756.
- 67. *P. Mopsus* (vel *Mopsa*). S. N. 1760, p. 487; Mus. Ulr. p. 235; *P. Mneme*, β, S. N. 1767, p. 756. This is a variety of *Polymnia*, n. 65, according to Butler, Cat. Fabr. p. 126.
 - 68. P. Urania (Drusilla).
- 69. P. Euterpe (Stalachtis). Ref. * Pet. Gaz. t. 4, f. 2. Petiver's figure represents a Heliconius.
- 70. P. Thallo (Chalcosia: Heterocera). S. N. 1767, p. 756. Ref. * Edw. t. 226. This is a Bombyx of the genus Chalcosia, subsequently redescribed by Linnæus himself as Sphinx pectinicornis (lib. cit. p. 807).
- 71. *P. ricini* (Heliconius). Refs. Ehret, t. 1; * Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 30; * Rœs. Ins. iv. t. 5, f. 1.
- 72. P. psidii (THYRIDIA). Refs. * Pet. Gaz. t. 40, f. 3; * Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 19; *Rœs. iv. t. 2, f. 3. Petiver's figure represents a moth.
- 73. P. Charitonia (Heliconius). Refs. *Edw. t. 80; *Sloane, Jam. ii. t. 239, f. 15, 16.
- 74. P. Clio (ITHOMIA, ERESIA, Doubl., LEPTALIS, Butl.). Ref. *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 35. The figure does not at all agree with the Linnean description; Cramer's Clio (257 D. E.), however, does so fairly.
 - 75. P. Thalia (ACRÆA). Ref. Cl. t. 43, f. 2.
- 76. P. Ixilion. S. N. 1760, p. 488; described by Linnæus in subsequent works as P. Thalia, β . Not identified, perhaps a mere synonym of the last.
- 77. P. Ædea (Heterusia: Heterocera), Clerck & Johanssen. Ref. Cl. t. 4, f. 2.
- 78. P. Eurytus (vel Euryta). Ref. Cl. t. 31, f. 4. The description is usually applied to an Acrae, and the figure to a Diadema; Mr. Butler (Cat. Fabr. p. 95) refers both to the latter.
 - 79. P. Erato (Heliconius). Ref. Cl. t. 40, f. 1.
- 80. P. Melpomene (Heliconius). Refs. *Edw. t. 38; *Sloane, Jam. ii. t. 239, f. 25, 26; *Pet. Gaz. t. 6, f. 7; *Rœs. Ins. iv. t. 3, f. 6. Petiver's figure does not belong here; and I am very doubtful if Edwards' figure represents the true Melpomene, and Sloane's is also very uncertain.

- 81. P. cratægi (Pieris).
- 82. P. Doris (Heliconius). Mant. Plant. p. 536. Ref. Auben. Misc. t. 71, f. 1, 2. A variety of H. Erato (n. 79).
 - * DANAI CANDIDI.
- 83. P. Idea (vel Itea) (Hestia), Clerck & Johanssen. Typ.ref. Cl. t. 38, f. 1; later ref. * Edw. t. 340. Edwards' figure represents Colias Edusa, ♀.
- 84. P. anacardii (Salamis). Typ. ref. *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 16; later ref. Cl. t. 28, f. 3. Merian's figure does not agree with the Linnean description, and represents Hætera Piera (n. 57, above).
- 85. P. Cyrene. S. N. 1760, p. 474. The description of this species will not agree with Salamis anacardii, to which it is referred, S. N. 1767, p. 758.
 - 86. P. brassicæ (Pieris).
 - 87. P. rapæ (Pieris).
 - 88. P. napi (Pieris).
 - 89. P. Helice (vel Hellica) (Pieris).
 - 90. P. sinapis (Leucophasia).
- 91. P. Monuste (Pieris). Stated to occur in Barbary. Has this species been satisfactorily determined? The P. Monuste of anthors is an American species.
 - 92. P. Daplidice (Pieris). Ref. * Pet. Gaz. t. 1, f. 7.
- 93. P. Demophile (Pieris), Clerck & Johanssen. Ref. Cl. t. 28, f. 4.
 - 94. P. Acastus (vel Acaste, vel Acasta) (Pieris).
- 95. P. Belia (Anthocharis). S. N. 1767, p. 760. Linnæus gives the locality of this insect as Barbary, which would make it A. Douei, φ, with which the description also agrees, as remarked by Mr. Butler, E. M. M. v. p. 271, Cat. Fabr. p. 214.
 - 96. P. cardamines (Anthocharis).
 - 97. P. Pyrene (Thestias).
- 98. *P. Evippe* (Актноснаять). Тур. ref. * Pet. Gaz. t. 9, f. 10; later ref. Cl. t. 50, f. 5.

- 99. P. Eupheno (Anthocharis). S. N. 1767, p. 762. The 3 of A. Belia, No. 95.
- 100. *P. Glaucippe* (Невомога). Тур. ref. *Edw. t. 128; later ref. Cl. t. 35, f. 1.
- 101. P. Encedonia (vel Encedon). Undetermined. Described in Mus. Ulr. p. 244.
- 102. P. Arsalte (vel Ersalte) (Pyrgus). Ref. Cl. t. 23, f. 2.
- 103. P. Hyparete (vel Hyperate). Ref. Cl. t. 38, f. 2, 3. Figure 3 represents P. Cæneus (n. 125). Linnæus describes it, Mus. Ulr. p. 247, as a variety.
- 104. P. Damone. Unrecognizable from the description.
- 105. P. Helcita (Aletis: Heterocera), Clerck & Joh. Ref. Cl. t. 39, f. 4; later ref. * Edw. t. 340. Edwards's figure, which Linnæus previously referred to Hestia Idea (n. 83), represents Colias Edusa, ♀.
 - 106. P. Scylla (Callidryas), Johanssen.
- 107. P. Hecabe (Terias). Typ. ref. *Pet. Gaz. t. 28, f. 9; later ref. *Edw. t. 253, f. 2. Mr. Butler considers Petiver's figure to represent Terias candida; it is certainly distinct from the species figured by Edwards, which is the true T. Hecabe of authors. The Linnean description would probably apply equally well to several species; it agrees better with Petiver's figure than with Edwards'; but only a reference to the type in Queen Ulrica's collection at Upsala can decide the point.
 - 108. P. Trite (CALLIDRYAS).
 - 109. P. Pyranthe (vel Pyrante) (CALLIDRYAS).
- 110. P. Palæno (Colias). Attributed to C. Hyale by some of the old authors, but apparently correctly identified at present.
- 111. P. Hyale (Collas). Linnaus gives eight references for this insect, five of which I have been able to consult, and all, without exception, refer indubitably to C. Edusa, Fabr., and all the figures represent the $\mathfrak P$. Many of the old authors considered the Linnaun Hyale to be our Edusa; and the description is not so clear as to

decide the question beyond dispute. Prof. Westwood states (Brit. Butt. ed. 2, which I quote here and elsewhere from memory) that he has found specimens of our Edusa labelled P. pteridis in the Linnean collection; and at p. 248 of Mus. Ulr., I find a reference to such an insect, which, however, is not described in any work of Linnæus known to me.

- 112. P. Electra (vel Electo) (Colias), Joh. & Linn. Ref. * Pet. Gaz. t. 9, f. 11. Petiver's figure represents C. Edusa, &; but the locality, "Cape of Good Hope," at once fixes the Linnean species.
- 113. P. Eubule (CALLIDRYAS). Ref. *Edw. t. 304. Edwards' figure, though rough, agrees with one of Cramer's figures of his P. Marcellina (t. 163 C.) which Mr. Bates considers to be the 3 of Cramer's Eubule (t. 120, E. F.).
- 114. P. sennæ (Callidryas). Refs. * Sloane, Jam. ii. t. 236, f. 11, 12; * Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 58. Does not appear to be distinct from C. Eubule (n. 113), with which it is usually associated by authors.

115. P. Philea (CALLIDRYAS), Joh. & Linn. Ref.

*Rœs. iv. t. 3, f. 5.

116. P. Cleopatra (Gonepteryx).

117. P. rhamni (Gonepteryx).

118. P. Eclipsis (GONEPTERYX), Joh. & Linn. Ref. * Pet. Gaz. t. 10, f. 6. A fictitious species, manufactured of specimens of G. rhamni.

119. P. Java (Pieris), Sparrin. Amæn. Acad. vii. p. 504, note (1); Lin. S. N. iii. p. 225. Appears to be identical with P. Coronea, Cram., which name it will supersede.

120. P. Canidia (Pieris), Sparrm. Amon. Acad. vii. p. 504, note (m). I have little doubt that this species is identical with P. Gliciria, Cram., which name it supersedes.

* DANAI FESTIVI.

121. P. Midamus (EUPLEA). Typ. refs. Act. Holm. 1748, t. 6, f. 1, 2; Ehret, t. 3, f. 11; later ref. *Ræs. i. t. 9. The first figure is doubtless typical; Mr. Butler states that it represents a slight variety, and that the description agrees with it.

- 122. P. Niavius (DANAUS). Ref. Cl. t. 32, f. 2.
- 123. P. Zetes (ACRÆA). Ref. Cl. t. 43, f. 1.
- 124. P. Strilidore, Joh. Am. Ac. vi. p. 405. Linnæus overlooked this species, and I have not been able to identify it.
- 125. P. Caneus (vel Ceneus) (Pieris). Identified by Mr. Butler, Cat. Fabr. p. 206, with P. Plexaris, Don.
 - 126. P. Enceladus. Apparently a species of Euplea.
 - 127. P. Obrinus (EPICALIA). Ref. Cl. t. 31, f. 2, 3.
- 128. P. Pinthæus (vel Pinthous) (Leptalis). See Butl. Cat. Fabr. t. 2, f. 1.
- 129. P. Eribote (vel Eribotes). Not identified. There are some discrepancies in the description in Mus. Ulr. which I cannot reconcile.
- 130. P. Pierius (vel Perius). Not identified. It appears to be allied to Athyma Asura, Moore, but the description will not quite suit that species.
- 131. P. Plexippus (Danaus). Linnæus quotes only figures of the North American species, to which his description is not altogether inapplicable, though it will better apply to the Asiatic species for which it is usually taken. He also gives N. America as the locality, but adds (Mus. Ulr. p. 262) "P. Kalm meus e China." It is very doubtful whether the name does not rightly belong to the American species.
 - 132. P. Misippus (DIADEMA).
- 133. P. Chrysippus (Danaus). Typ. ref. * Edw. t. 189; later ref. Schreber, t. 9, f. 11, 12.
- 134. P. cassiæ (Opsiphanes). Typ. ref. * Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 32; later ref. Cl. t. 29, f. 3. Clerck's figure is quoted for Drusilla Urania (n. 68).
- 135. P. sophoræ (Brassolis). Typ. ref. *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 35; later refs. Cl. t. 35, f. 3; *Ræs. i. t. 4, f. 1, 2. Ræsel's figure more probably represents a species of Opsiphanes.
 - 136. P. Xanthus (Opsiphanes). Ref. Cl. t. 34, f. 1, 2.
- 137. P. Philomela (vel Philomelus) (YPTHIMA). But not Y. Philomela of Hübner. The description seems to me to agree better with Y. Baldus, Fabr., than with any other species.

138. P. Clytus (Erebia).

139. P. Cassus (Erebia).

140. P. Mineus (Mycalesis).

141. P. Hyperanthus (vel Hyperantus) (Hipparchia).

142. P. Aeropa (vel Æropus) (SYMPHÆDRA). Ref. Cl. t. 39, f. 1.

143. P. Eurydice (SATYRUS), Joh. Am. Ac. vi. p. 406. P. Canthus, Linn. S. N. 1767, p. 768.

144. P. Hyperbius (Erebia).

145. P. Acontius (EPICALIA). Mant. Plant. p. 537. Ref. Auben. Misc. t. 68, f. 3, 4.

* NYMPHALES GEMMATI.

146. P. Io (VANESSA).

147. P. Asterie (JUNONIA).

148. P. Almana (Junonia). Typ. ref. *Edw. t. 84; later ref. *Rœs. i. t. 5, f. 3, 4. Rœsel's figure represents J. Asterie (n. 147).

149. P. Aonis (Junonia).

150. P. Enone (Junonia). Typ. refs. *Pet. Gaz. t. 4, f. 3; *Edw. t. 37; later refs. Ehret, t. 6; *Res. i. t. 3, f. 1, 2.

151. P. Lemonias (Junonia). Linnæus quotes Clerck, who, however, does not seem to have figured this insect.

152. P. Orithya (vel Oritya) (Junonia). Typ. ref. *Edw. t. 26; later ref. *Res. Ins. iv. t. 6, f. 2.

153. P. Fidia (HIPPARCHIA). S. N. 1767, p. 770. "Habitat in Barbaria." This locality, when given by Linnæus, is generally correct. Has this species been identified beyond dispute?.

154. P. Briseis (HIPPARCHIA).

155. P. Feronia (AGERONIA). Ref. Cl. t. 31, f. 1.

156. P. Mæra (Satyrus).

157. P. Megæra (Satyrus).

158. P. Ægeria (SATYRUS).

159. P. Ligea (Erebia). Ref. Alb. t. 5, f. 1. This reference is probably incorrect.

- 160. P. Atlites (Junonia), Joh. Am. Ac. vi. p. 407. Linn. Mus. Ulr. p. 273; P. Laomedia, S. N. 1767, p. 772.
 - 161. P. Libye (Euptychia).
 - 162. P. Galathea (MELANARGIA).
 - 163. P. Semele (HIPPARCHIA).
- 164. P. Hermione (HIPPARCHIA). Refs. * Pet. Gaz. t. 7, f. 5; *Ræs. iv. t. 27, f. 3, 4. Mr. Butler refers Petiver's figure to H. Fidia; Resel's certainly represents H. Circe; but the description does not agree with either.
 - 165. P. Phædra (Hipparchia). Ref. Sepp, t. 3.
 - 166. P. Leda (Melanitis). Ref. * Edw. t. 297.
 - 167. P. Helie (PAPHIA). Ref. Cl. t. 34, f. 3.
 - 168. P. Hedonia (Junonia). Ref. * Pet. Gaz. t. 39, f. 4.
- 169. P. Dejanira (vel Deianira) (Satyrus). Ref. *Rœs. iv. t. 33, f. 1, 2.
 - 170. P. Jurtina (Epinephele).
 - 171. P. Janira (Epinephele).

 - 172. P. cardui (Pyrameis). 173. P. Tulbaghia (Meneris).
- 174. P. Pipleis (DIADEMA). Ref. Cl. t. 26, f. 2. The of D. Pandarus (n. 18, above).
 - 175. P. Lampetia (Cirrochroa,?). Ref. Cl. t. 39, f. 2.
- 176. P. Iris (APATURA). Typ. refs. * Ræs. iii. t. 42; Wilkes, t. 1a, 2; later refs. Harris, Aurel. vii. t. 3, f. a-d; Sulz. t. 14, f. 86. Ræsel figures Ilia; but Linnæus describes Iris. I can find no such insect figured in Rœmer's edition of Sulzer, and there are only 5 figures on plate 14. Two represent a Prepona, which Linnæus perhaps intended to quote.

** Nymphales Phalerati.

- 177. P. populi (LIMENITIS). Refs. Cl. Act. Holm. 1753, t. 7; *Res. Ins. iii. t. 33, f. 1, 2.
- 178. P. Cydippe (Cethosia), Clerck & Johanssen. Ref. Cl. t. 36, f. 1.
- 179. P. Tiphus (vel Tipha) (Pyrrhogyra). Typ. ref. Cl. t. 32, f. 3; later ref. * Edw. t. 33.
 - 180. P. Antiopa (VANESSA).

- 181. P. polychloros (VANESSA).
- 182. P. urticæ (Vanessa).
- 183. P. C.-album (Vanessa).
- 184. P. C.-aureum (Vanessa).
- 185. P. Ariadne (Ergolis), Joh. Am. Ac. vi. p. 407.
- 186. P. Dirce (GYNÆCIA).
- 187. *P. Butes.* S. N. 1760, p. 485, and Cl. t. 36, f. 3; given in Mus. Ulr. and S. N. 1767 as *P. Dirce*, β .
- 188. P. jatrophæ (Anartia). Ref. * Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 4.
- 189. P. Canace (Vanessa). Joh. & Lin. This species is evidently identical with Charonia, Drury, which it will supersede.
- 190. P. Amalthea (vel Amathea) (Anartia). Ref. Cl. t. 40, f. 3.
 - 191. P. Atalanta (Pyrameis).
- 192. P. Amphinome (AGERONIA). Ref. * Rœs. i. t. 10, f. 1, 2; * Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 8.
 - 193. P. Venilia (ATHYMA). Ref. Cl. t. 32, f. 4.
 - 194. P. Alimena (DIADEMA). Ref. Cl. t. 32, f. 1.
 - 195. P. Leucothoe (ATHYMA).
- 196. P. Hylas (Cyrestis). S. N. 1760, p. 486. Ref. Cl. t. 40, f. 4. Erroneously confounded by Linnæus with Leucothoc (n. 195) in his later works.
- 197. P. Phærusa (vel Phaethusa) (Cethosia). Ref. *Ræs. iv. t. 2, f. 1.
- 198. P. Iphiclus (vel Iphicla) (Heterochroa). Ref. Cl. t. 41, f. 3.
 - 199. P. Idmon (vel Idmone). Undetermined.
 - 200. P. Eleus (vel Elea) (HETEROCHROA).
 - 201. P. Ancœus (vel Ancœa) (Epicalia).
 - 202. P. Janassa (Romaleosoma).
- 203. P. Sibilla (LIMENITIS). S. N. 1767, p. 781. Ref. *Ræs. Ins. iii. t. 33, f. 1-3 (f. 1 & 2 represent L. populi). This is the P. Prorsa of the Mus. Ulr. p. 303.
- 204. P. Camilla (LIMENITIS). Mus. Ulr. p. 304; S. N. 1767, p. 781. Ref. *Pet. Gaz. t. 12, f. 10; later ref. *Rœs. iii. t. 33, f. 3, 4. The ç of L. Sibilla. Petiver's figure represents a totally different species.

- 205. P. Amphion. S. N. 1760, p. 486; P. Camilla, β , S. N. 1767, p. 781. This has nothing to do with L. Sibilla, and is perhaps a Neptis.
- 206. P. Bolina (DIADEMA). Ref. Cl. t. 21, f. 2. Mr. Butler has recently identified this species with D. Lasinassa of authors. It was formerly considered to be the 3 of the Linnean Misippus (n. 132).
 - 207. P. Clytia.
- 208. P. Neærea (Pyrrhogyra). Ref. *Edw. t. 33. This figure has already been quoted under P. Tiphus (n. 179), to which it apparently belongs.
 - 209. P. Aceste (vel Acesta) (CALLIZONA). Ref. Cl.
- t. 43, f. 3.
- 210. P. Dido (Colenis), Clerck & Johanssen. Refs. Cl. t. 30, f. 2; * Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 3.
- 211. P. similis (Danaus). Typ. ref. * Pet. Gaz. t. 92, f. 13; later ref. Cl. t. 16, f. 3. Petiver's figure does not agree with the description: it represents D. Limniace, Cram.
 - 212. P. assimilis (HESTINA). Ref. Cl. t. 16, f. 1.
- 213. P. dissimilis. Typ. ref. Ehret, t. 17; later ref. Cl. t. 16, f. 3.
- 214. P. Panope. Ref. Rheed. mal. 9, t. 1,?. It is still uncertain whether this and the last species are forms of P. Clytia (n. 207, above) or not.
- 215. P. Nauplius (vel Nauplia) (Eresia). Ref. Cl. t. 46, f. 1, 2.
- 216. P. Hypermnestra, Joh. Am. Ac. vi. p. 407; Lin. S. N. 1767, p. 783. Apparently a variety of the male of Elymnias undularis, Dru., from which the marginal markings of the fore-wings are absent.
- 217. P. Nesæa (vel Nesea). Mus. Ulr. p. 302. This is probably identical with Elymnias Lais, Fabr.
- 218. P. Rumina (Thais). Ref. *Catesby, Car. ii. t. 95. Linnæus quotes a description from Osbeck, which apparently applies to Argynnis Selene, but his own description, assisted by Catesby's figure, is perfectly clear. Drury figures Zeritis Thero (n. 237 below) under the name of P. Rumina.
- 219. P. Levana (Araschnia). S. N. 1760, 1767. Ref. *Res. i. t. 9, f. 5, 6.

- 220. P. Prorsa (Araschnia). S. N. 1760, 1767. Ref. *Rœs. i. t. 8, f. 6, 7; Mer. Ins. Eur. t. 88, f. 1. The spring brood of A. Levana (n. 219).
- 221. P. Lucina (Nemeoblus). Ref. *Pet. Gaz. t. 16, f. 10. A very rough figure.
- 222. P. Maturna (Melitea). F. S. p. 280. Refs. *Pet. Gaz. t. i. f. 8; Wilkes, 58, t. 2, s. 9. The description certainly applies to a species belonging to the Artemis group of Melitea, and Wallengren does not question its current application. Petiver's figure represents Thais Rumina (n. 218, above); but an insect described as very rare in Sweden, "habitat in Corylo, Erica, Scabiosa," will not do for a Thais.
- 223. P. Ciuxia (Melitea). F. S. p. 280. Refs. *Pet. Gaz. t. 18, f. 10; *Rœs. iv. t. 13; Reaum. Ins. ii. t. 9; Wilkes, t. 3, a, b. Wallengren does not consider the identification of this species fully established (Lep. Rhop. Scand. p. 73); and the description (F. S. p. 280) is not sufficiently precise; but as both Petiver and Ræsel figure insects belonging to the Cinxia group of Melitæa, of which group this is the only Swedish representative, I see no reason for doubting the correct application of the name.
 - 224. P. Lena (Hætera). Ref. *Ræs. i. t. 10, f. 3, 4.
 - 225. P. Dia (ARGYNNIS). S. N. 1767, p. 785.
- 226. P. Niphe (Argynnis). S. N. 1767, p. 785. P. Hyperbius, Joh. Am. Ac. vi. p. 408; &, P. Argyrius, Sparrm. † Am. Ac. vii. p. 502, note (f).
 - 227. P. Paphia (Argynnis).
 - 228. P. Cytherea (Heterochroa). Ref. Cl. t. 39, f. 3.
 - 229. P. Aglaia (vel Aglaja) (ARGYNNIS).
- 230. P. Adippe (Argynnis). S. N. 1767, p. 786. P. Cydippe, F. S. p. 281. Some consider this species a var. of Niobe (n. 233, below). Stefanelli quotes these references under that species.
 - 231. P. Lathonia (Argynnis).
 - 232. P. Euphrosyne (Argynnis).
 - 233. P. Niobe (Argynnis).

[†] P. Argyrios, Gmel. S. N. ed. 13, p. 2248, is a species of Nyctalemon, near Orontes, and is figured by Zschach, Mus. Lesk. t. 2. f. 6 (Comp. Tr. Ent. Soc. Lond. 1869, p. 356).

234. P. vanillæ (AGRAULIS). Typ. refs. *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 25; *Sloane, Jam. ii. t. 239, f. 23, 24; later ref. Cl. t. 40, f. 2.

* Plebeji rurales.

235. P. Cupido (Helicopis). Refs. *Pet. Gaz. t. 10, f. 9; *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 10, f. 1; *Res. iv. t. 3, f. 7.

236. P. Polybe (THECLA), Joh. & Lin.

237. P. Thero (Zeritis).

238. P. betulæ (Thecla).

239. P. pruni (Thecla). Typ. ref. *Res. v. 2, t. 7.

240. P. quercus (Thecla).

241. P. Marsyas (Thecla). Typ. ref. Cl. t. 41, f. 1; *Edw. t. 81; later ref. *Res. i. t. 5. f. 1, 2.

242. P. Echion (Thecla, ?). S. N. 1767, p. 788. Ref. *Res. i. t. 7, f. 3, 4. Mr. Butler (Cat. Fabr. p. 188) gives a different reference, but it is correctly quoted by Linnæus, according to our copy of Resel.

243. P. Telamon. Undetermined.

244. P. Bæticus (Polyommatus).

245. P. Thyra (Zeritis).

246. P. Thysbe (Zeritis).

247. P. Thamyras. Undetermined.

248. P. Arion (Polyommatus). Typ. ref. *Res. iii. t. 45, f. 3, 4; later refs. Sulz. t. 14, f. 87; Poda, t. 2, f. 4. Rœsel's figure seems to represent P. Diomedes; but this is not a Swedish insect, nor does the description (F. S. p. 833) appear to point to it. Some Polyommati are figured on plate 18 of Ræmer's edition of Sulzer, but Arion is not among them.

249. P. Zeuxo (Zeritis).

250. P. Argus and P. Idas (Polyommatus). F. S. p. 283, united in S. N. 1767, p. 783. Wallengren is probably correct (Rhop. Scand. p. 206) in referring this species to Egon, for the other critical species is a great rarity in Sweden. Linnaus says in F. S. that Argus is "caudatis," evidently a misprint, as it is corrected in later works.

251. P. Philiasus. I suspect this insect may prove to be identical with Polyommatus Amyntas, Fabr., but I am not quite sure, having only short descriptions to compare.

- 252. P. Argiolus (Polyommatus). Considered by some of the old writers to represent P. Semiargus, Rott. (Acis, W. V.).
- 253. P. Pirithous (Polyommatus). Not improbably the 3 of P. Philiasus (n. 251, above). It is Papilio Barbarus, Gmel. S. N. p. 2352, (see Tr. Ent. Soc. Lond. 1869, p. 360), and is fully described by Godart as Polyommatus Pirithous, Enc. Méth. ix. p. 682.
 - 254. P. Thespis (vel Tespis) (Polyommatus).

255. P. rubi (THECLA).

256. P. Lara (HYPOLYCÆNA?).

257. P. Pamphilus (CENONYMPHA).

258. P. Philocles (Mesosemia). Ref. Cl. t. 45, f. 3.

259. P. Timantes. Undetermined.

- 260. P. Arcanius (vel Arcania) (Семомумрна).
- 261. P. Athemon (Eubagis). Ref. Cl. t. 37, f. 2, t. 46, f. 3.
- 262. P. caricæ (Nymphidium). Typ. ref. *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 40, f. 1; later ref. Cl. t. 20, f. 2. Merian's figure is very doubtful.

263. P. Metis (CYCLOPIDES).

- 264. P. Neleus (Phareus). Ref. Cl. t. 45, f. 2.
- 265. P. Talaus (Phareus), Clerck & Johanssen. Ref. Cl. t. 45, f. 1.
 - 266. P. Phereclus (Panara). Ref. Cl. t. 45, f. 4.
- 267. P. Peleus (Phareus), Clerck & Johanssen. Ref. Cl. t. 45, f. 5.
 - 268. P. Lysippus (ERYCINA). Ref. Cl. t. 22, f. 2.
- 269. P. Priassus (Phareus). Given by Mr. Butler (Cat. Fabr. p. 283) as the & of P. Talaus, n. 265, above.
 - 270. P. Phlas (Lycena).
 - 271. P. virgaureæ (Lycæna).
- 272. P. Hippothoe (LYCENA). F. S. p. 274. Wallengren (Lep. Rhop. Scand. p. 193) thinks that this description applies to Eurydice, Rott., which Esper figures as the Linnean Hippothoe, and not to Hippothoe, W. V. and later authors. Prof. Westwood confirms this opinion, by stating (Brit. Butt. ed. 2) that he has found specimens of Eurydice ticketted Hippothoe in Linnæus' handwriting, in the Linnean cabinet.

- 273. P. Hero (CENONYMPHA). F. S. p. 274. Linnæus seems to have confounded this species with C. Tiphon, Rott. (Davus, Fabr.) as his description in the first edition of F. S. seems to apply to Tiphon; and he would hardly say of P. Hero "Corpus præcedenti (i. e. P. Hippothoe) paullo majus."
- 274. P. Eryx. Mant. Plant. p. 537. Ref. Auben. Misc. t. 71, f. 4, 5. Mr. Butler (Cat. Fabr. p. 180) gives this insect as identical with Deudorix Amyntor, Herbst.
- 275. P. Tithonus (EPINEPHELE). Mant. Plant. p. 537. De Villers writes the name Tithonius, which is copied by Staudinger and others.

**PLEBEJI URBICOLÆ.

- 276. P. Comma (Pamphila).
- 277. P. Augias (PAMPHILA), Joh. Am. Ac. vi. p. 410.
- 278. P. Protumnus (Zeritis).
- 279. P. Proteus. Linnæus confounded all the species of the genus or subgenus Goniurus under this name. Typ. ref. *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 63 (one of the worst figures in the book); later ref. Cl. t. 42, f. 1-3. Linnæus afterwards referred t. 42, f. 2 to the following species, but incorrectly (see P. Thrax).
- 280. P. Thrax (Hesperia). S. N. 1767, p. 794. Ref. Cl. t. 42, f. 2. Mr. Butler states (Cat. Fabr. p. 262) that Clerck's figure does not belong to this species. Donovan's figure (Ins. Ind. t. 49, f. 2) is quoted for it by both Westwood and Butler.
- 281. P. Butes (ERYCINA), Cl. & Linn. Ref. Cl. t. 46, f. 6. This species is P. Arcius, Joh. Am. Ac. vi. t. 36, p. 409.
- 282. P. Actorion (Bia), Clerck & Johanssen. Ref. Cl. t. 36, f. 2.
- 283. P. Phidias (Pyrrhopyga). Typ. ref. *Pet. Gaz. t. 43, f. 15; later ref. Cl. t. 44, f. 1-4. Petiver's figure represents a moth. Clerck figured two species as the sexes of one, and Linnæus copied the error in his subsequent works. (See Butl. Cat. Fabr. p. 268.)

284. P. Biwe. Typ. refs. *Pet. Gaz. t. 32, f. 5; *Mer. Ins. Sur. t. 44; later ref. Cl. t. 42, f. 4. There is much confusion about this species also. Petiver's figure does not agree with the description, and Linnaeus ceased to regard it as typical in his later works. Merian's figure looks over-coloured, and perhaps represents an Eudamus or a Pyrrhopyga. Clerck has figured an African species as Biwe, which resembles Merian's species on the under surface; but the name must be restored to Merian's insect, as soon as it has been properly identified.

285. P. Polycletus (Hypochrysops). Ref. Cl. t. 17, f. 2.

286. P. Pitho (Polyommatus). The \circ of P. Thespis (n. 254, above).

287. P. malvæ (Pyrgus). Typ. refs. *Pet. Gaz. t. 36, f. 6; Mer. Ins. Eur. i. t. 38; Reaum. i. t. 11, f. 6, 7; *Rœs. i. 2, t. 10; Wilkes, t. 2, c. 1; later refs. Huffn. 4, t. 2, f. ult.; Schæff. Elem. t. 94, f. 9. There is no doubt that this species is the same as alveolus, Hübn. Petiver's figure represents this species; Ræsel figures two different species, apparently malvarum and alveus, at the page cited. Not only does alveolus agree with the Linnean description, but it stands so named in the Linnean collection. (See Westw. and Humphr. Brit. Butt. p. 121). Wallengren (Lep. Rhop. Scand. pp. 275, 276) regards the question as settled, and makes special reference to all the allied Swedish species.

288. P. Tages (NISONIADES).

289. P. Oileus (Pyrgus). I have no reason to doubt that this insect is, as Linnaus states, an Algerian species; and I hope soon to have an opportunity of verifying this and the other doubtful species described by Linnaus from Algeria, as I am expecting a collection from that country.

290. P. Niso (NISONIADES).

291. P. Spio (PYRGUS).

292. P. Phaleros (THECLA).

293. P. Cæneus (Nymphidium).

294. P. Idas. S. N. 1760, p. 488. The description is very poor, and I cannot discover that the species is taken up in Linnaus' subsequent works. Possibly Eudamus Brino, Cram., but unrecognizable from the description.